



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,428	01/12/2001	Mitchell R. Frank	10004553-1	9973

7590 08/27/2004

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80627-2400

EXAMINER

VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/759,428

Applicant(s)

FRANK ET AL.

Examiner

Michael R Vaughan

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-15 have been examined and are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449, Paper No. 2, is attached to the instant Office action.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: typo "fordisplaying" --for displaying--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2131

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayes et al, hereinafter Hayes (USP 6,339,826).

As per claims 1, 8, 9, Hayes teaches a computer system, comprising: memory (Fig 2, element 212); and a security application configured to display a list of security rules for locking down resources of said computer system (col. 19, lines 50-55), said security application configured to enable a set of said security rules, based on inputs from a user (Fig 17), and to cause said computer system to enforce said enabled set of security rules by modifying a machine state of said computer system (col. 20, lines 1-5), said security application further configured to enable said user to select one of said security rules and to display information describing said selected rule in response to a selection of said one rule by said user (col. 17, lines 65-67), said information based on data stored in said memory (col. 17, lines 60-64).

As per claims 2 and 10, Hayes teaches said security application is configured to display said information immediately in response to said selection (col. 17, lines 65-66).

As per claims 3 and 11, Hayes teaches said security application is configured to display said list within a window (Fig 17), said window including a plurality of selectable icons (icon besides "Members, Subgroups and Applet Permission", in Fig 17), said security application further configured to display different sets of information describing said selected rule in response to selections of different ones of said icons (Fig 17, right side of menu).

As per claims 4 and 12, Hayes teaches said security application is configured to display a main window (Fig 17), said security application further configured to display rules of said list in a first sub-window (window of Members) of said main window and to display said information describing said selected rule in a second sub-window of said main window (window of Applet Permission).

As per claims 5 and 13, Hayes teaches said security application is configured to categorize said list of rules (col. 19, lines 55-67), said security application further configured to display categories of said rules in a third sub-window of said main window (Applet Permission of Fig 17).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claims 6 and 14, Hayes teaches said security application is configured to enable said user to select one of said categories and is configured to display, in said first sub-window, rules of said list that are associated with one of said categories presently selected by said user (col. 19, line 50—col. 20, lines 17).

As per claim 7 and 15, Hayes teaches said main window includes a plurality of selectable icons (icon besides "Members, Subgroups and Applet Permission", in Fig 17), said security application further configured to display in said second sub-window different sets of information describing said selected rule in response to selections of different ones of said icons (window of Applet Permission).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael R Vaughan whose telephone number is 703-305-0354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MV

Michael R Vaughan

Examiner

Art Unit 2131

E. L. Moise
EMMANUEL L. MOISE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
4/11/2136